

The M5-brane superconformal index

Seok Kim

(Seoul National University & Perimeter Institute)

Strings 2013 Seoul, 27 June 2013

Hee-Cheol Kim, S.K. **1206.6339**; Hee-Cheol Kim, Joonho Kim, S.K. **1211.0144**;

Hee-Cheol Kim, Kimyeong Lee **1210.0853**;

Hee-Cheol Kim, S.K., Sung-Soo Kim, Kimyeong Lee **to appear**.

some related works:

Kallen, Zabzine **1202.1956**; Hosomichi, Seong, Terashima **1203.0371**;

Kallen, Qiu, Zabzine **1206.6008**; Kallen, Minahan, Nedeline, Zabzine **1207.3763**;

Imamura **1209.0561**, **1210.6308**; Lockhart, Vafa **1210.5909**;

Hee-Cheol Kim, S.K., Eunkyung Koh, Kimyeong Lee, Sungjay Lee **1110.2175**;

Haghighat, Iqbal, Kozcaz, Lockhart, Vafa **1305.6322**.

Motivation

- 6d (2,0) SCFT is very unique: “tensor” theory, N^3 degrees, QFT in high dimension, ...
- Without a microscopic formulation, we still want to learn something useful about it from effective descriptions + some power of SUSY.
- Reduce on S^1 , **5d SYM** at low E: UV degrees from instantons (D0 on D4 = KK modes)

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \star_4 F_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^4 \quad \frac{4\pi^2}{g_{YM}^2} = \frac{1}{r_1}$$

- BPS observables: SUSY path integrals are well-defined (~ Gaussian path integral)
- To probe interesting UV physics, one should carefully choose the BPS quantity.
- **Index on $S^5 \times S^1$ from SYM on S^5 , $CP^2 \times S^1$** , obtained by naïve S^1 reductions: can compute 6d spectrum, also highlighting some limitations/ambiguities of this approach.

(A more “conservative” or “modest” attitude than [Douglas] [Lambert, Papageorgakis, Schmidt-Sommerfeld]. But our calculations may be viewed as a special case of their proposal.)

The index for 6d (2,0) theory

- Put the theory on $S^5 \times R$: energy E ; $SO(6)$ j_1, j_2, j_3 ; $SO(5)_R$ R_1, R_2
- Choose a pair of Q, S ($= Q^+$) among 32

$$Q_{(j_1, j_2, j_3)}^{(R_1, R_2)} \rightarrow Q_{-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} : \text{BPS bound } E = 2R_1 + 2R_2 + j_1 + j_2 + j_3$$

[Kinney, Maldacena, Minwalla, Raju] [Bhattacharya, Bhattacharyya, Minwalla, Raju]

- Index partition function on $S^5 \times S^1$: counts local BPS operators on R^6

$$I(\beta, m, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \text{Tr} \left[(-1)^F e^{-\beta' \{Q, S\}} e^{-\beta(E - \frac{R_1 + R_2}{2})} e^{\beta m(R_1 - R_2)} e^{-\gamma_1(j_1 - j_3)} e^{-\gamma_2(j_2 - j_3)} \right]$$

- $CP^2 \times S^1$ QFT: 6d fugacities are 5d fugacities (time S^1 is explicit in 5d)
- S^5 interpretation: naïve reduction on S^1 with twistings

- $\beta \sim S^1$ radius $\sim 5d$ or “type IIA” coupling: $\frac{4\pi^2}{g_{YM}^2} = \frac{1}{r_1} = \frac{2\pi}{r\beta}$
- m : hypermultiplet mass (Scherk-Schwarz reduction) $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - ia_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_i} + \frac{R_1 + R_2}{2} - m(R_1 - R_2)$
- $a_i = (a, b, c)$, satisfying $a+b+c=0$, squash S^5 : $e^{-\gamma_1(j_1 - j_3)} e^{-\gamma_2(j_2 - j_3)} = e^{-\beta(a j_1 + b j_2 + c j_3)}$

SYM on S⁵

- Fields of maximal SYM: w/ **SU(1|1)**, **SU(4|1)** (at a_i = 0), **SU(4|2)** (at a_i = 0, m = 1/2 or -1/2)

- Data needed to construct SYM on S⁵: $n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2 = 1$

background: $ds_5^2 = dn_i^2 + n_i^2 d\phi_i^2 + \alpha^2 (a_i n_i^2 d\phi_i)^2$ $\alpha^{-2} = 1 - a_i^2 n_i^2$ $C = i \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i n_i^2 d\phi_i$

Killing spinor eqn: $\left[\nabla_\mu - C_\mu \sigma_3 - \frac{i}{8\alpha} (dC)^{\nu\rho} \gamma_{\mu\nu\rho} \right] \epsilon = i\gamma_\mu \left[\alpha \sigma_3 - \frac{1}{4\alpha} (dC)_{\nu\rho} \gamma^{\nu\rho} + \frac{i}{2\alpha} \nabla_\nu \alpha \gamma^\nu \right] \epsilon$

- Hybrid of SUGRA [**Festuccia, Seiberg**] + brutal [**Hosomichi, Seong, Terashima**] methods

- Action with off-shell SU(1|1): (bosonic)

$$D = 2(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2)\alpha^2 \quad V_{ab} = (dC)_{ab}$$

$$g_{YM}^2 e^{-1} \mathcal{L} = \left. \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{16\alpha^2} V^2 + \frac{1}{4} R + D \right) \alpha \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4\alpha} \phi^2 V^2 - \frac{1}{2} \phi V^{ab} F_{ab} \\ & - 2\phi \left(-\frac{1}{4} V^{ab} F_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \partial^a \alpha D_a \phi + \frac{i}{4} \alpha^2 (\sigma^3)_{ij} D^{ij} \right) \\ & - \alpha \left(-\frac{1}{4} F_{ab} F^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} D^a \phi D_a \phi - \frac{1}{4} D_{ij} D^{ij} \right) + e^{-1} \frac{i}{8} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} C_\mu F_{\nu\lambda} F_{\rho\sigma} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{vector multiplet}$$

$$+ |D_\mu q^i|^2 + \left(4 - \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \right) |q^i|^2 - \bar{F}_i F^i + ([\bar{q}_i, \phi] - im\alpha \bar{q}_i) ([\phi, q^i] - im\alpha q^i) - \bar{q}_i (\sigma^I)^i_j ([D^I, q^i] + m\alpha^2 \delta_3^I q^j) \left. \right\} \text{adjoint hypermultiplet}$$

- A **5d ambiguity**: constant shift at inverse-powers of g_{YM}^2 to be added & tuned.

$$\Delta S_0 = \underbrace{\frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \left[a_1 \int R^2 + \text{other background fields} \right]}_{\sim T} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{g_{YM}^6} \left[a_3 \int R + \text{other background fields} \right]}_{\sim T^3 \text{ (maximally possible high T growth)}}$$

to account for high T asymptotics of “free energy”

Localization of path integral

- Localization: $Z(\beta) = \int e^{-S-tQV} : t \text{ independent } (V \text{ chosen s.t. } \{Q^2, V\}=0)$

- Saddle points: firstly, on round S^5

$$\xi^\mu = \epsilon^\dagger \gamma^\mu \epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_{\phi_i}^\mu$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma} F^{\alpha\beta} \xi^\gamma, \quad F_{\mu\nu} \xi^\nu = 0, \quad D_\mu \phi = 0, \quad D = i\phi \sigma^3$$

all other fields = 0

self-dual instantons on CP^2 base

- Squashed S^5 : instantons collapsed to “3 fixed points” (of squashed CP^2).

$$(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0) \text{ or } (0, 0, 1) \quad U(1)^2 \text{ fixed points on (squashed) } CP^2$$

- solution away from fixed points: a matrix for scalar expectation value

$$\tilde{\xi}^\mu = \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i \partial_{\phi_i}^\mu$$

$$\phi = \alpha \phi_0, \quad D = i\alpha^2 \phi_0 \sigma_3, \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \phi_0 V_{\mu\nu} = i\phi_0 (d\tilde{\xi})_{\mu\nu}$$

- determinant around saddle point: use suitable index theorems

Result

- Result: determinant factorized to contributions from 3 fixed points

$$\lambda = r\phi$$

$$Z(\beta, m, a_i) = \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^r d\lambda_i \right] \exp \left[-\frac{2\pi^2 \text{tr} \lambda^2}{\beta(1+a)(1+b)(1+c)} \right] Z_{\text{pert}}^{(1)} Z_{\text{inst}}^{(1)} \cdot Z_{\text{pert}}^{(2)} Z_{\text{inst}}^{(2)} \cdot Z_{\text{pert}}^{(3)} Z_{\text{inst}}^{(3)}$$

(W: Weyl group, r: rank)

- Z_{pert} 's combine to: see also [Lockhart, Vafa] [Imamura]

$$\det_V = \prod_{\alpha \in \text{root}} \prod_{p,q,r=0}^{\infty} \left(p(1+a) + q(1+b) + r(1+c) + \alpha(\lambda) \right) \left((p+1)(1+a) + (q+1)(1+b) + (r+1)(1+c) + \alpha(\lambda) \right) \quad \text{vector multiplet}$$

$$\det_H = \prod_{\mu \in \text{weight}} \prod_{p,q,r=0}^{\infty} \left(p(1+a) + q(1+b) + r(1+c) + m + \frac{3}{2} + \mu(\lambda) \right)^{-1} \times \left((p+1)(1+a) + (q+1)(1+b) + (r+1)(1+c) - m - \frac{3}{2} + \mu(\lambda) \right)^{-1}$$

hyper in real representation
(e.g. adjoint)

- $Z_{\text{inst}}^{(i)} \sim Z_{\text{Nekrasov}}$ on Omega-deformed $R^4 \times S^1$ (with parameter match): For $U(N)$,

$$Z_{\text{inst}}^{(3)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{4\pi^2 k}{\beta(1+c)}} \frac{(1+c)^{-k}}{k!} \oint \left[\prod_{I=1}^k \frac{d\phi_I}{2\pi} \right] \prod_{I=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\sin \pi \frac{\phi_I - \lambda_i - m - \frac{3(1+c)}{2}}{1+c} \sin \pi \frac{\phi_I - \lambda_i + m + \frac{3(1+c)}{2}}{1+c}}{\sin \pi \frac{\phi_I - \lambda_i - \epsilon_+}{1+c} \sin \pi \frac{\phi_I - \lambda_i + \epsilon_+}{1+c}} \times \prod_{I \neq J} \sin \pi \frac{\phi_{IJ}}{1+c} \prod_{I,J} \frac{\sin \pi \frac{\phi_{IJ} + 3c}{1+c}}{\sin \pi \frac{\phi_{IJ} - a + c}{1+c} \sin \pi \frac{\phi_{IJ} - b + c}{1+c}} \cdot \frac{\sin \pi \frac{\phi_{IJ} + m - \frac{1}{2} + a}{1+c} \sin \pi \frac{\phi_{IJ} + m - \frac{1}{2} + b}{1+c}}{\sin \pi \frac{\phi_{IJ} + m + \frac{3}{2}}{1+c} \sin \pi \frac{\phi_{IJ} + m + \frac{3}{2} + 3c}{1+c}}$$

- Weak-coupling expansion at $\beta \ll 1$. **Re-expansion with $e^{-\beta}$** at strong coupling?

An unrefined 6d index (w/ 16 SUSY)

- Path integral w/ enhanced SUSY at $a_i = 0$, $m = \frac{1}{2}$ or $-\frac{1}{2}$ (maximal SYM).
- Vector/hyper cancelation. Final expression can be easily re-expanded.
- Full partition function for $U(N)$, $SO(2N)$: tune constant shift $e^{-\Delta S_0} = e^{\frac{\pi^2 N}{6\beta}}$ to make it an index
(c_2 : dual Coxeter number, $|G|$: dimension)

$$Z^{U(N)} = e^{\beta \left(\frac{N(N^2-1)}{6} + \frac{N}{24} \right)} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{s=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta(n+s)}}$$

$$Z^{SO(2N)} = e^{\beta \left(\frac{c_2 |G|}{6} + \frac{N}{24} \right)} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta(n+N)}} \prod_{s=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta(n+2s)}} \right]$$

- $Z[S^5]$ is indeed an index: evidence of the emergence of the “time circle”
- Counts $\frac{1}{2}$ BPS operators w/ one kind of holomorphic derivatives
- Analogous sector: 4d $N=4$ SYM [Mandal, Suryanarayana] [Grant, Grassi, S.K., Minwalla]
(a small part of X. Yin’s)

$U(N)$:	$(\partial_1)^n \text{tr} Z^s$	and their multiplications
$SO(2N)$:	$(\partial_1)^n \text{tr} Z^{2s}$, $(\partial_1)^n \sqrt{\det Z}$	and their multiplications
- So not surprisingly, large N limits agree w/ SUGRA on $AdS_7 \times S^4$ & $AdS_7 \times S^4/Z_2$.

The vacuum Casimir “energies”

- The overall prefactor: $e^{-\beta\epsilon_0} \equiv e^{\beta\left(\frac{c_2|G|}{6} + \frac{r}{24}\right)}$

- Casimir “energy”: index version (depends on regulator/renormalization)

$$\epsilon_0 = \lim_{\beta' \rightarrow 0} \text{tr} \left[(-1)^F \frac{E}{2} e^{-\beta' E} \right] \quad (\epsilon_0)_{\text{index}} = \lim_{\beta' \rightarrow 0} \text{tr} \left[(-1)^F \frac{E - R_1}{2} e^{-\beta' (E - R_1)} \right]$$

- The 6d calculation for the Abelian theory concretely illustrates the difference.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{2} \quad (\epsilon_0)_{\text{index}} = -\frac{1}{24} \neq -\frac{25}{384} = \epsilon_0$$

- Also, $G = U(N)$ at large N : but both show N^3 scalings

$$(\epsilon_0)_{\text{index}} = -\frac{N^3}{6} \neq (\epsilon_0)_{\text{gravity}} = -\frac{5N^3}{24} \quad \text{from AdS}_7 \text{ dual [Awad, Johnson]}$$

- **AdS₇ dual of the index version?** (SUSY should constrain all the steps of calculation)

- **Note:** The above Casimir “energy” is uniquely constrained by maximal SU(4|2) SUSY.
- With all 4 chemical potentials turned on, SU(1|1) seems too small to do the same job.
- So generally, we might have implicitly fixed a small ambiguity in the SUSY path integral.
- Any such ambiguities are expected to disappear at maximal SUSY points.

SUSY QFT on $CP^2 \times R$

- SUSY reductions along S^1/Z_K Hopf fiber of S^5/Z_K [H.-C.Kim, K. Lee]

$2\pi/K$ rotation with $k \equiv j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + \frac{3}{2}(R_1 + R_2) + n(R_1 - R_2)$

- The 5d theory is a low energy effective QFT for large K .
- Half-an-odd integer n : different twisted reductions, infinitely many 5d QFT
- Our main interest: strong-coupling QFT at $K=1$, instantons provide KK towers

- On-shell (Euclidean) action: can make $SU(1|1)$ off-shell (only consider $U(N)$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 S = & \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \int d^5x \sqrt{g} \operatorname{tr} \left[\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \phi^I D^\mu \phi^I - \frac{i}{2} \lambda^\dagger \gamma^\mu D_\mu \lambda - \frac{1}{4} [\phi^I, \phi^I]^2 - \frac{i}{2} \lambda^\dagger \hat{\gamma}^I [\lambda, \phi^I] \right. \\
 & + \frac{2}{r^2} (\phi^I)^2 - \frac{1}{2r^2} (M_n \phi^I)^2 + \frac{1}{8r} \lambda^\dagger J_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \lambda - \frac{i}{2r} \lambda^\dagger M_n \lambda - \frac{i}{r} (3 - 2n) [\phi^1, \phi^2] \phi^3 - \frac{i}{r} (3 + 2n) [\phi^4, \phi^5] \phi^3 \\
 & \left. - \frac{i}{2r} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} \left(A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\lambda - \frac{2i}{3} A_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda \right) J_{\rho\sigma} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$M_n \equiv \frac{3}{2}(R_1 + R_2) + n(R_1 - R_2)$

- SUSY: $SU(3|1) \times SU(1|1)$ for $n = \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$; $SU(1|2)$ for $n = \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$; $SU(1|1)$ otherwise

Index on $CP^2 \times S^1$

- It is manifestly an index.
- Saddle point structure is more complicated than S^5 .

$$D^1 = D^2 = 0, \quad \boxed{F^- = 2sJ}, \quad \phi + D = 4s$$

→ uniform anti-self-dual instantons allowed on CP^2 , proportional to Kahler 2-form

- After a localization calculus, one obtains a contour integral: $U(N)$

$$\sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|W_s|} \oint \left[\frac{d\lambda_i}{2\pi} \right] e^{\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N s_i^2 - i \sum_i s_i \lambda_i} Z_{\text{pert}}^{(1)} Z_{\text{inst}}^{(1)} \cdot Z_{\text{pert}}^{(2)} Z_{\text{inst}}^{(2)} \cdot Z_{\text{pert}}^{(3)} Z_{\text{inst}}^{(3)}$$

sum over anti-self-dual fluxes

integral over S^1 holonomies (the contour is nontrivial, however)

- Z_{pert} :

$$Z_{\text{pert}}^{(1)} Z_{\text{pert}}^{(2)} Z_{\text{pert}}^{(3)} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \frac{\prod_{\sum_{i=1}^3 p_i = \alpha(s)} 2 \sin \frac{\alpha(\lambda + i\sigma) + \beta p_i a_i}{2} \cdot \prod_{\sum_{i=1}^3 p_i = \alpha(s) - 3} 2 \sin \frac{\alpha(\lambda + i\sigma) + \beta p_i a_i}{2}}{\prod_{\sum_{i=1}^3 p_i = \alpha(s) - 1} 2 \sin \frac{\alpha(\lambda + i\sigma) + \beta p_i a_i - \beta \hat{m}}{2} \cdot \prod_{\sum_{i=1}^3 p_i = \alpha(s) - 2} 2 \sin \frac{\alpha(\lambda + i\sigma) + \beta p_i a_i + \beta \hat{m}}{2}}$$
- Z_{inst} : product of 3 Nekrasov's Z_{inst} on $R^4 \times S^1$, with suitable identifications of parameters

- This index has subtle structures: vacuum comes with nonzero anti-self-dual flux.

$$s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N) = (N - 1, N - 3, N - 5, \dots, -(N - 1))$$

contributes to vacuum "energy" by $\epsilon_0 \leftarrow -\frac{N(N^2 - 1)}{6}$

Some tests

(We work with 5d QFT at $n = -\frac{1}{2}$, enjoying some nicer properties) (instanton number \sim energy)

- $U(N)$ index agrees w/ large N gravity dual for $k \leq N$: checked for $N \leq 3$
- E.g. $k = N = 3$: (all multiplied by vacuum energy factor & q^3) $q = e^{-\beta}$, $y_i = e^{-\beta a_i}$, $y = e^{\beta(m - \frac{1}{2})}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_{(2,0,-2)} &= 3 \left[y^2(y_1 + y_2 + y_3) + y(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2) + y^{-1}(y_1 + y_2 + y_3) - \left(1 + \frac{y_1}{y_2} + \frac{y_2}{y_1} + \dots\right) + y^3 \right] \\
 &\quad + 6y \left[y(y_1 + y_2 + y_3) - (y_1^{-1} + y_2^{-1} + y_3^{-1}) + y^{-1} + y^2 \right] + y^3 \\
 Z_{(2,-1,-1)} + Z_{(1,1,-2)} &= -2y \left[y(y_1 + y_2 + y_3) - (y_1^{-1} + y_2^{-1} + y_3^{-1}) + y^{-1} + y^2 \right] \\
 &\quad - 2y \left[y(y_1 + y_2 + y_3) - (y_1^{-1} + y_2^{-1} + y_3^{-1}) + y^{-1} + y^2 \right] \\
 &\quad - 4y^3 - 4y^2(y_1 + y_2 + y_3) - 2y \left(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 - \frac{1}{y_1} - \frac{1}{y_2} - \frac{1}{y_3} \right) + 2 \left(\frac{y_1}{y_2} + \frac{y_2}{y_3} + \dots \right) - 2y^{-1}(y_1 + y_2 + y_3) \\
 Z_{(1,0,-1)} &= y^3 + y^2(y_1 + y_2 + y_3) - y(y_1^{-1} + y_2^{-1} + y_3^{-1}) + 1 \\
 Z_{SUGRA} &= 3y^3 + 2y^2(y_1 + y_2 + y_3) + y \left(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 - \frac{1}{y_1} - \frac{1}{y_2} - \frac{1}{y_3} \right) - \left(\frac{y_1}{y_2} + \frac{y_2}{y_1} + \dots \right) + y^{-1}(y_1 + y_2 + y_3)
 \end{aligned}$$

} add all

- General $U(N)$ index up to $k \leq 2$. Large N agrees w/ SUGRA: e.g. $k=2$ example

Contributions from various anti-self-dual fluxes

$$\left[\begin{aligned}
 & q^2 \left[\frac{N(N+1)}{2} y^2 + N y (y_1 + y_2 + y_3) - N (y_1^{-1} + y_2^{-1} + y_3^{-1}) + N y^{-1} \right] \\
 & - (N-1)(N-2) q^2 y^2 - (N-1) q^2 \left[y^2 + y (y_1 + y_2 + y_3) - (y_1^{-1} + y_2^{-1} + y_3^{-1}) + y^{-1} \right] \\
 & + \frac{(N-2)(N-3)}{2} q^2 y^2 \qquad \qquad \qquad = q^2 \left[2y^2 + y (y_1 + y_2 + y_3) - (y_1^{-1} + y_2^{-1} + y_3^{-1}) + y^{-1} \right]
 \end{aligned} \right.$$

SUGRA index on $AdS_7 \times S^4$

- Of course new predictions of spectrum at $k > N$ beyond SUGRA.

Concluding remarks

All supporting evidence we found

maximal SUSY point w/ 1 fugacity

systematically justified w/ 4 fugacities: low E expansion

$$\text{“Z[S}^5\text{]} = \text{Index[S}^5 \times \text{S}^1\text{]} = \text{Index[CP}^2 \times \text{S}^1\text{]} \text{”}$$

Also justified from Abelian index w/ 4 fugacities
[Lockhart, Vafa] [H.-C. Kim, J. Kim, S.K.]

- $\text{CP}^2 \times \text{R}$ QFT approach could be useful for studying **6d (1,0) SCFT's**.
- Other applications of $\text{Z[S}^5\text{]}$ (w/ different matter contents): 5d SCFT [Jafferis, Pufu] [Assel, Estes, Yamazaki]; Relation to 2d CFT's correlators [Nieri, Pasquetti, Passerini]
- A more concrete formulation of **any** nontrivial higher dimensional QFT (e.g. SCFT's in $d=5,6$) would be desirable, many of them predicted by string theory.